IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

MEMORANDUM DECISION AND ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S MOTION FOR EARLY TERMINATION OF SUPERVISED RELEASE

v.

SHANE MICHAEL HOLMAN,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:04-CR-53 TS

District Judge Ted Stewart

This matter is before the Court on Defendant's Motion for Early Termination of Supervised Release. For the reasons discussed below, the Court will grant the Motion.

I. BACKGROUND

Defendant was charged with various drug and weapons offenses on February 4, 2004.

Defendant pleaded guilty to possession of methamphetamine with intent to distribute and possession of a firearm in furtherance of a drug trafficking crime. He was sentenced to a term of 120 months in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons, to be followed by 60 months of supervised release.

Defendant began his supervision on March 25, 2013. In his Motion, Defendant represents that he has complied with the terms of his supervised release, has maintained gainful employment, has never missed or failed a drug test, has had no contact with law enforcement, and has helped take care of his family. Consultation with Defendant's supervising officer confirms these representations. The government has telephonically indicated that it has no objection to Defendant's Motion.

II. DISCUSSION

18 U.S.C. § 3583(e) permits the Court to terminate supervised release at any time after a defendant has completed at least one year of supervised release, but prior to completion of the entire term, if the Court is satisfied that such action is (1) warranted by the conduct of an offender and (2) is in the interest of justice. In making this determination, the Court is directed to consider the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to the extent they are applicable.

Having considered these factors, reviewed the docket and case file, and consulted with Defendant's supervising officer, the Court finds that early termination of Defendant's term of supervised release is both warranted by the conduct of the offender and in the interest of justice.

III. CONCLUSION

It is therefore

ORDERED that Defendant's Motion for Early Termination of Supervised Release (Docket No. 42) is GRANTED. It is further

ORDERED that Defendant's term of supervised release shall be terminated effective immediately and this case shall be closed.

DATED this 7th day of October, 2015.

BY THE COURT:

Ted Stewart

Linted States District Judge